

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,621	06/14/2006	Ange Defendini	28944/50036	3641	
57726 MILLER MA	7590 06/26/200 TTHIAS & HULL	9	EXAMINER		
ONE NORTH	FRANKLIN STREET	KREINER,	MICHAEL B		
SUITE 2350 CHICAGO, IL	, 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3644		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/26/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/595,621	DEFENDINI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael Kreiner	3644		

		Wilchael Kreinel	3644						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cperiods;	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) b)	∑ The period for reply expires § months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
have l under set for may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s in in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
=	NDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cort(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	ter form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for					
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		otted ciairris.						
4. 🗆	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)					
5. H	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / tinonamont (i	TOL OLT.					
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7. 🔲	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
ΛΕΕΙ	Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a					
REQ	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:					
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
/h.4:	chaol I Carono/								

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3641

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 has been amended to include new features, as described in the Applicant's Remarks from June 15, 2009 (p. 10 paragraph 3). Furthermore, the amendments do not place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing the issues for appeal, since claims have been cancelled and appended to the independent claim in order to add new claims, thereby increasing the number of claim limitations and therefore issues for appeal.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The RWA taught by Heiberg is a tab in the broadest sense, in that it projects out from the satellite (fig. 1). Furthermore, the Applicant has drawn attention to deficiencies in the drawings—as the claimed subject matter of magnetic torquers, jet actuators, steerable reflecting allerons and tabs are not shown in the figures—which further prevent allowance of the application. Furthermore, it is well-known in the art to use such secondary actuators as magnetic torquers and jet actuators in conjunction with reaction wheels, and so a further rejection could be applied to the newly amended independent claim to specifically cover magnetic torquers and jet actuators, were it not that Heiberg sufficiently teaches the invention as claimed.